

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/833,702	04/13/2001		Jong-Won Seok	11349-P66578US0	4947
43569	7590	09/16/2005		EXAMINER	
MAYER, BI		WE & MAW L	PARTHASARATHY, PRAMILA		
	WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2136	

DATE MAILED: 09/16/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

6						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/833,702	SEOK ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Pramila Parthasarathy	2136				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 31 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the	for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no ever, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. lote: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL). which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection) and the appropriate exte The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	ension fee have on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) by reduce any			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimates a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENIONED. 	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beloe appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 		ompliant Amendment	. (PTOL-324).			
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	· 	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>NONE</u> .		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(nils to provide a (1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.			

Part of Paper No. 23

13. Other: ____.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See Continuation Sheet.

11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The prior art is believed to read on the claims Applicant argues that Moskowitz fails to teach or even remotely suggest "a linear predication analyzing unit for receiving an original signal and detecting a prediction coefficient predetermined through the linear predication analysis". Examiner directs to Moskowitz teaching with different embodiments in the Summary for watermark insertion of digital watermark including use of linear and non-linear generators and Column 12 lines 5 - 9 for advantages of linear generator for audio signal. Examiner respectfully maintains rejection for claims 1-13.

SUPERVISORY PAVENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100